



GLENN BECK IS CORRECT . . . but By Erica Carle

Glenn Beck is correct – absolutely, positively correct - when he recognizes that our Constitutional government is being attacked from the top and from the bottom. But the problem is, we need to know who controls the top and who controls the bottom. The answer is, and has been before us for generations, but it is so simple and so huge that most people cannot see it and believe it.

Those at the top have to be in a position to gain the cooperation of wealthy and influential people, legislators, judges, and also the Presidents themselves. They need to have great influence on the international scene. They need to have an organization with a large following that is able to influence other organizations. It must attract people of all religions and be trusted without question by a large percentage of its members. It must have power to influence media. Does such an organization exist? It most certainly does, and you or one of your groups may be a part of it.

Those at the bottom have to have strong leadership, be able to influence educational policy, gain citizen cooperation, form and convince activist groups to make demands, and block the efforts of those who dare to oppose them.

I am not so smart that I could figure out who represents the top and who represents the bottom all by myself, but I am good at research and making sense of what other people and news sources have written.

My first and most important instructor was the crazy Frenchman Auguste Comte who lived from 1798 to 1857. Can you believe the United States are in the process of being taken over by a system set up more than a century and one half ago by a long dead Frenchman? If you can't possibly believe it, you will never know what hit you when you lose whatever freedom is left in our country. After more than 150 years it is still possible to make accurate predictions about group behavior by studying his plan of control.

Comte called the management of groups of people a science, "social science." But think about this: if there is a true science of managing groups of people, and if it is 100% successful, everyone would live according to the plans of these "social

scientists" and no one would be free to make his own decisions.

Social science cannot exist with individual freedom or Constitutional government. We cannot be both free and controlled. It is either social science or freedom. If the management of people is accepted as a science, personal freedom is impossible. Social "scientists" would become the world's culture designers.

If the idea of such a total design and world re-construction seems to you to be outside the realm of possibility, do not assume that everyone else shares your doubts. The influential Harvard psychologist, B. F. Skinner, for example, not only advocated world re-construction, he also did his part to alter human behavior to bring it about.

Skinner taught that under the new culture design people would have to give up such principles as happiness, freedom, and virtue. In exchange they are promised order, predictability, control, uniformity, efficiency, and freedom from all personal feelings, thoughts, associations, obligations, and punishments.

Our children in public schools and young adults in college are taught to believe in social "sciences" and to try to change the world by participating in projects suggested by social "scientists." Millions of students are graduated every year obsessed with the idea that they must work with change agents and participate in "changing the world" or "making a difference."

It does not matter to the change agents whether the young fanatics join with those at the top or those at the bottom so long as they join some kind of a world-changing group.

What about the students and others who follow world changers at the "top?" What is the organization that works to keep them involved in bringing about the World Management System? Unless you do further research beginning with the articles at the end of this discussion you will be inclined to reject the thought. However, research proves that the organizations these followers use and trust are the United States and the International Chambers of Commerce.

I have heard others say and write: No, it is the Masons, the Catholics, the Jews, the Illuminati, the Trilateral Commission, the Humanists, the Muslims, etc. etc. etc. I would not argue with them, but I would point out that if their theory is true they would have to prove the group they have chosen is also in charge of the social "sciences" and the U.S. and International Chambers of Commerce.

As Glen Beck keeps reminding his audience, do your own research. I hope you will start with some or all of the following articles:

<http://www.newswithviews.com/NWO/newworld20.htm>

<http://www.newswithviews.com/Erica/Carle7.htm>

<http://www.newswithviews.com/Erica/Carle175.htm>

<http://www.newswithviews.com/Erica/Carle176.htm>
<http://www.newswithviews.com/Erica/Carle175.htm>